(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(88) Date of publication A3: 15.07.1998 Bulletin 1998/29 (51) Int Cl.5: G07F 7/08

(11)


- (43) Date of publication A2: ·04.12.1996 Bulletin 1996/49
- (21) Application number: 96303616.5
- (22) Date of filing: 21.05.1996
- (84) Designated Contracting States: DE FR GB
- (30) Priority: 31.05.1995 US 455939
- (71) Applicant: AT&T Corp. New York, NY 10013-2412 (US)
- (72) Inventors:
 - Blonder, Greg E. Summit, New Jersey 07901 (US)

- Greenspan, Steven Lloyd Scotch Plains, New Jersey 07076 (US)
- Mirville, J. Robert Manalapan, New Jersey 07726 (US)
- Sugla, Binay Aberdeen, New Jersey 07747 (US)
- (74) Representative: Harding, Richard Patrick et al Marks & Clerk, 4220 Nash Court. Oxford Business Park South Oxford OX4 2RU (GB)

(54)Transaction authorization and alert system

(57)An automated method for alerting a customer that a transaction is being initiated and for authorizing the transaction based on a confirmation/approval by the customer thereto. In accordance with one illustrative embodiment, a request to authorize the transaction is received, wherein the request includes a customer identifier, a determination is made whether to authorize the transaction based on the customer identifier; if the determination is to authorize the transaction, that fact is communicated to the customer; a confirmation that the transaction should, in fact, be authorized is received back from the customer, and the transaction is authorized in response to the customer's confirmation thereof. In accordance with another illustrative embodiment, a transaction initiated by an agent of the customer (i.e., the principal) is authorized by the principal when one or more threshold parameters that may be pre-defined by the principal are exceeded. A preferred method of alerting the customer and receiving a confirmation to authorize the transaction back from the customer is illustratively afforded by conventional two-way pagers.

PIG. 10





EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number EP 96 30 3616

- 1	DOCUMENTS CONSIDER	RED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages		Relevant to ctaim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.CI.6)
Α	WO 94 12954 A (WILSON * claim 1; figure 1 *	1-35	G07F7/08 G07F7/10	
A	WO 95 12859 A (VISA INT SERVICE ASS) 11 May 1995 + claim 1; figure 4.*		1-35	
Α΄		 EIN SHIMON) 21 January	1-35	
A	US 4 554 418 A (TOY 1985 * claim 1; figure 1		1-35	
A	US 5 388 148 A (SEIDERMAN ABE) 7 February 1995 * claim 1; figure 1 *		1-35	
Α .	US 5 208 446 A (MART 1993 * claim 1; figure 2	INEZ JERRY R) 4 May	1-35	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (INLCL6)
		•		
-	The annual count and the	amicks flo and our number of a	-	
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			1	Examiner
}	Place of search THE MACHE	Date of completion of the search 26 May 1998		(irsten, K
Y:	THE HAGUE CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS particularly relevant it taken alone particularly relevant it taken alone document of the earne category technological beckground non-written disclosure	T , theory or princ E : earlier patient atter the filting D : document cite L : document cite	26 May 1998 Kirsten, K T. theory or principle underlying the Invertion E: earlier patient document, but published on, or after the filling date D: document cited to the application L: document cited for other reasons a: member of the same patient family, corresponding	